

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
HAMMOND DIVISION

IN RE: )  
 )  
TIFFANY ARCELLA COFFMAN ) BANKRUPTCY NO. 03-64670 JPK  
 ) Chapter 7  
Debtor(s). )

ORDER REGARDING DOCUMENTS FILED BY AUTOMATED  
REPORTING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS, INC. AND ROBERT ABRAHAM

On February 27, 2006, Automated Reporting Management Systems, Inc. and Robert Abraham, by counsel, filed a document designated as “Creditors’ Response to Emergency Motion to Compel” [“Response”] in the chapter 7 case record of case no. 03-64670. On February 28, 2006, the same two parties filed a document designated as “Creditors’ Objections and Stipulations to Debtor’s Proposed Exhibits” [“Objections”].

The foregoing documents appear to have been filed in response to issues raised by the debtor by means of motions filed in adversary proceeding no. 03-6317. There may be some confusion on the part of the creditors’ counsel as to the appropriate record in which to file responses to those motions. The Court’s order of January 12, 2005 made it clear that contested matters between the creditors and the debtor were suspended pending determination of issues in adversary proceeding no. 03-6317. The matters to which the Response and the Objections respond clearly arise in relation to matters at issue in adversary proceeding no. 03-6317. The Court thus finds that the above-designated Response and Objections, having been filed in an improper record with respect to the subject matter of those documents, present nothing to the Court.

Counsel for the creditors is advised that the Court’s order entered on October 19, 2005 in adversary proceeding no. 03-6317 requires specific designation of every documentary exhibit proposed to be introduced into evidence in that adversary proceeding by the plaintiffs in that adversary proceeding – document by document – and the assembling of a set of documents by

each party, designated by whatever designation the parties choose [the customary designation is for plaintiffs to utilize letter designations, and for defendants to utilize number designations – but that was not required by the October 19, 2005 order]. This designation was intended to provide an efficient mechanism for both the parties and the Court to review objections for permissibility of documentary evidence at the hearing scheduled for March 29, 2006, as set by the October 19, 2005 order.

IT IS ORDERED that the Response and the Objections, as filed in case no. 03-64670, present nothing to the Court, and that the Court will take no cognizance of those filings.

Dated at Hammond, Indiana on March 14, 2006.

/s/ J. Philip Klingeberger  
J. Philip Klingeberger, Judge  
United States Bankruptcy Court

Distribution:  
Debtor, Attorney for Debtor  
Trustee, U.S. Trustee  
Attorney for Creditors